WILLIAM L. OWENS 21st District, New York

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON DEFENSE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY



Congress of the United States

House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515
February 14, 2013

WATERTOWN, NY 13601 (315) 782-3150
14 DURKEE STREET, SUITE 320 PLATTSBURGH, NY 12901
(518) 563–1406
136 GLEN STREET

PLEASE RESPOND TO

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

405 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515

(202) 225-4611

DISTRICT OFFICE:

120 WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 200

Mr. Patrick Donahoe Postmaster General United States Postal Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, DC 20260

Dear Mr. Donahoe,

As you recall, I have written to you on numerous occasions expressing dismay in the approach taken by the United States Postal Service (USPS) to get on a path to recovery. On January 22, 2013, I was again disappointed to read about squandered opportunities to enhance revenue in a New York Times article titled "Postal Service Losing Out on Federal Contracts."

The article supported what I have long suspected; specifically that management at the USPS is applying a purely cost-cutting approach, and neglecting one that takes advantage of revenue enhancement opportunities. It is imperative that a two-prong approach be used in addressing these problems, which, in my view, should include both cost cuts and revenue enhancements.

Furthermore, the recent spate of post office closings in my district underscores the likelihood that small rural areas will take the brunt of the cuts as this plays out. This appears to me to be a purely political approach to a very complex problem.

We have corresponded many times since I became a Member of Congress, and I genuinely value our ability to engage in civil discourse. With that said, I would very much appreciate your thoughts on the toll your approach will take on small rural areas, and why the USPS has missed out on opportunities to enhance revenue through federal contracts.

Bill Owens

Member of Congress